



OBJECTION NOTICE GIVEN BY A RELEVANT PERSON

*Delete as appropriate

PART 1.

**(i) The Chief Constable [s13(4)(e) Responsible Authority]*

**(ii) Other relevant person [s13(4)(h) Responsible Authority – Local Authority whose statutory functions include preventing the risk of pollution of the environment or harm to human health]*

TO:

- (i) City Council of Bristol, being the Licensing Authority;
- (ii) *Chief Constable / *Other Relevant Person
- (iii) Premises User

FROM:

Louise Mowbray 9976 Licensing Officer

TAKE NOTICE THAT:

The Relevant person giving this notice is satisfied that allowing:

- (i) the premises known as: Ashton Gate Stadium
 - (ii) location of premises: Ashton Road, Bristol, BS3 2EJ
 - (iii) date notice received: -1st March 2019
 - (iv) date of proposed event: 22nd May 2019 1500-2300hrs
- to be used in accordance with the standard temporary event notice in respect of the event proposed would undermine a licensing objective for the following reasons:

N.B (*Relevant person **must** set out the reasons why the licensing objective(s) would be undermined, unless this is done the document will not be an objection notice*)

Insert reasons.

This is a TEN application to allow the sale by retail of alcohol on the 22nd May for up to 499 persons.

This states the location is the car park adjacent to the stadium (Ashton Gate BCFC), though the exact location for this TEN application is vague.

Ashton Gate Stadium and footprint of the ground is covered by an existing premises licence, this application has not been sanctioned by the management of the stadium and only seeks to profit from the numbers attending the stadium for the Rod Stewart concert taking place.

The applicant has supplied limited information on the application, there are no details on how numbers will be managed, where the exact location of the temporary bar will be sited or how the management of the site in conjunction with traffic looking to use the car park will be safely facilitated.

Public Safety could be compromised if this application is granted.

The applicant has offered no information about how they will uphold the licensing objectives.

There is no mention of any requirement to show I.D or a Challenge 25 policy in the application. Without any detailed information about how proof of age will be managed the licensing objective of protecting children from harm may be compromised.

The organiser has failed to allay the concerns of the police, this application could compromise the event plans of the stadium, add to issues with evacuation of the area and only seeks to profit from the concert.

As the area applied for is unlicensed conditions cannot be added to the TEN submitted and as such that if granted this TEN could undermine the licensing objectives and the application should be refused.

The Council's own Licensing policy gives some guidance on considering such an application.

In *R (Daniel Thwaites PLC v. Wirral Borough Magistrates Court [2008] EWHC 838* the judge stated that the views of the police concerning crime and disorder should be given a heavy weight.

Part 2 does not form a part of this objection notice.

Louise Mowbray
Licensing Officer
05/03/19